

Project title: Automated Field Margin Design for vegetable crops

Project number: FV 334a

Project leader: Pat Croft, STCRF

Report: Final report, June 2013

Previous report: N/A

Key staff: Dr Pat Croft, Project Leader STCRF
Catherine Jones, Project Manager STCRF
Dr David George, Academic Consultant
Lancaster University
Prof Felix Wäckers, Academic Consultant,
Lancaster University
Peter Sawycki, Website Developer, The
Branding Iron Ltd
Jill George, IT Consultant, The Branding
Iron Ltd

Location of project: Stockbridge Technology Centre

Industry Representative: Richard Moynan, Vennbridge Cottage,
Kenton, Exeter, EX6 8R

Date project commenced: 31/01/2011

**Date project completed
(or expected completion date):** 31/05/2013

DISCLAIMER

AHDB, operating through its HDC division seeks to ensure that the information contained within this document is accurate at the time of printing. No warranty is given in respect thereof and, to the maximum extent permitted by law the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board accepts no liability for loss, damage or injury howsoever caused (including that caused by negligence) or suffered directly or indirectly in relation to information and opinions contained in or omitted from this document.

Copyright, Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board 2013. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including by photocopy or storage in any medium by electronic means) or any copy or adaptation stored, published or distributed (by physical, electronic or other means) without the prior permission in writing of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, other than by reproduction in an unmodified form for the sole purpose of use as an information resource when the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board or HDC is clearly acknowledged as the source, or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved.

AHDB (logo) is a registered trademark of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board.

HDC is a registered trademark of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, for use by its HDC division.

All other trademarks, logos and brand names contained in this publication are the trademarks of their respective holders. No rights are granted without the prior written permission of the relevant owners.

AUTHENTICATION

We declare that this work was done under our supervision according to the procedures described herein and that the report represents a true and accurate record of the results obtained.

Pat Croft
Project Leader
STCRF

Signature Date

Catherine Jones
Project Manager
STCRF

Signature Date

Report authorised by:

[Name]
[Position]
[Organisation]

Signature Date

[Name]
[Position]
[Organisation]

Signature Date

CONTENTS

GROWER SUMMARY	1
Headline.....	1
Background.....	1
Summary	2
Financial Benefits	4
Action points	4
SCIENCE SECTION	5
Introduction	5
Materials and methods	5
Results.....	6
Conclusions	11
Knowledge and Technology Transfer	11
Glossary.....	11
References	11

GROWER SUMMARY

Headline

A new website (http://www.stockbridgetechnology.co.uk/Automated_Margins/) has been produced to help growers identify the right seed mix for their field margins, specifically tailored to their own crop and management preferences. Login using the password 'entomos'.

Background

The horticultural industry faces a range of crop protection issues. Pressures to reduce pesticide use have led to the investigation of many alternative methods for maintaining pest populations below economic damage thresholds. Non-crop plants in farming landscapes can provide a range of important ecological services, including conservation of native flora and fauna and the enhancement of pollination and biological pest control (Gurr *et al.*, 2003). Field margins containing non-crop plants can play an important role in meeting current UK conservation targets (Vickery *et al.*, 2004). Margin seed mixes have already been developed that target conservation of bees (Carvell *et al.*, 2006), butterflies (Pywell *et al.*, 2004) and farmland birds (Vickery *et al.*, 2009). The effectiveness of field margins in boosting pest control strongly depends on their botanical composition. Not all flowers are suitable for supporting pest natural enemies, despite many biological control agents requiring flowering plants as a source of nectar and pollen (Wäckers *et al.*, 2005). Often non-crop elements that are designed for bird or pollinator conservation do not simultaneously make resources available to biological control agents (Olsen & Wäckers, 2007). Furthermore, the inclusion of certain flowering species in field margins can promote pests and impact upon nearby crops (Winkler *et al.*, 2010).

Research is now being conducted to design multifunctional field margin seed mixes that can be sown to provide benefits to many target groups simultaneously. Such margins still provide desirable conservation benefits to farmland birds and pollinators, but by also providing resources to encourage pest natural enemies (without encouraging pests) these margins can play a greater role in pest control than they have previously. To achieve this aim, the plants included in a seed mix must be carefully and individually selected based on many criteria and tailored to the crop next to which they are sown.

Ongoing research is also looking to develop field margins that can be employed on a longer-term basis, using perennial species in seed mixes (HortLink – HL0192). Such field

margins should offer an improved return in the long-term, where repeat sowing after several years would not be necessary to retain the multifunctional margin.

It has been shown that growers are more likely to sow flowering margins if these can be tailored to their own fields and circumstances, and are designed to provide beneficial pest control, as well as pollination and conservation. Ensuring that margin mixes provide minimal resources to pest species is important to increase grower confidence in, and uptake of flowering field margins, particularly as evidence is emerging to suggest that this is not always the case (Winkler et al., 2010).

Summary

Whilst growers commonly construct their own seed mixes, selecting mixes that are beneficial to growers through providing food and nectar for predators/parasitoids and pollinators, is a knowledge-intensive and lengthy process, and unlikely to be embarked upon by the majority of growers. However, the automated system developed within this project is capable of providing details of field margin seed mixes best suited to a grower's crop, management and budget, making tailored, multi-functional margins available to all.

To create an easy-to-use tool for growers, and after feedback, five criteria from the database were selected as most relevant:

- 1) **The main crop** grown next to the proposed margin - Brassicas, carrots, peas and potatoes were chosen to be included after recommendation from the HDC FV Panel. The answer to this question lead to the omission of plant species known to be associated with pests.
- 2) **Soil type** – clay, loam or sand. These three broad soil types were chosen because they represent the types of soil relevant to the selection of species from the raw database. Growers will choose the type that best describes their land.
- 3) **Margin lifespan** – annuals only or perennials included. This allows growers to choose if they wish their margin to persist for multiple years. An annual mix may be more relevant to a grower's rotation. Whereas, a mix with perennials included would have a longer lifespan and allow the margin to become a more permanent landscape feature.
- 4) **Provenance** – native only or naturalised species included. Growers can use this selection criterion to choose whether they would prefer to sow only native species. No truly exotic species are included in any of the mixes. The naturalised species are

those that are already present to an extent in UK habitats (e.g. *Phacelia tanacetifolia*)

- 5) **Budget** – economy or premium. This will allow growers to reduce costs should they want to. An “economy” mix will exclude or reduce in number those species known to be more expensive. An explanation on the role of the excluded species will be provided with the seed mix to inform the grower of the plant’s value in a multifunctional mix. This will allow growers to decide on the balance between cost and function.

The website can be seen on Stockbridge Technology Centre web site and accessed using the password **entomos**. Growers will be able to access the site through a link from the HDC website (<http://www.hdc.org.uk/interactive-tools>) or directly through the STC website <http://www.stc-nyorks.co.uk/>.

There is a welcome page, explaining the background behind the project and the rationale for the website. The top of the page has several tabs relating to:

Home – returns the user to the welcome page

Establishment – contains notes on land preparation and margin establishment. These guidelines, as used in the hortLINK HL0192 project, give advice on the four steps for optimum margin establishment: site selection; site clearance and weed control; seed bed cultivation; seed sowing.

Management – contains notes on how best to manage the margin once established, with particular reference to controlling grasses and weeds in perennial margins using mowing regimes. This section has been developed in consultation with our project partner, Emorsgate Seeds, and a link is provided on the website for further information.

FAQ – contains ‘frequently asked questions’ relating to margins generally and this project more specifically such as justifications for including a greater diversity of plants in a seed mix for increased likelihood of good establishment and for multiple ecosystem service benefits.

Links – contains links to appropriate seed producers as well as relevant information on field margins and agro ecosystem research such as the ecostac (FV334 – Hortlink project HL0192), Natural England and SAFFIE websites.

Financial Benefits

1. Reduced labour costs and seed inputs where perennial rather than annual margin seed mixes are selected (annual options available if preferred), and through the use of seed mixes tailored to establish well under the conditions present on site.
2. Expected reduction in insecticide inputs as a result of improved pest control where field margins are used.
3. Provision of a quick and easy-to-use tool to generate field margin seed mixes, tailored to a growers crops, site, requirements and budget, for use with vegetable crops in the UK. This will potentially save time and money compared to conducting their own research.
4. Provision of 'best practice' guidelines to assist margin establishment and management, potentially reducing the risk of a flowering margin failing to establish.

Action points for growers

- Use the website tool to identify the correct seed margin mix for your specific vegetable crop and conditions.
- Use the guidelines on the website to establish and manage the field margins.

SCIENCE SECTION

Introduction

The project has developed a website capable of generating site-specific multifunctional field margin seed mixes for use in UK vegetable crops (Brassicacae, peas, carrots and potatoes). The mixes are considered multifunctional because they provide resource for biological control species, pollinators and birds simultaneously. At the same time, the mixes are also designed not to provide resource or host plants for crop pests. This project collated information from multiple sources into a database to generate a detailed prescription of annual and perennial flowering field margin plants. The output is a site-specific suggestion of a seed mix which takes into account the crop, soil and budget of the grower as well as the grower's preference for a perennial/annual mix or for a mix containing native/non-native species.

Materials and methods

The database

In order to develop this website information was obtained from several databases: <http://www.ecoflora.com/> , <http://www.brc.ac.uk/> , and a confidential database obtained from an existing project (FV334, HortLink HL0192). In addition a broad literature review was conducted in order to make additions and amendments to the raw database. The most important criteria from the database were selected, through consultation with the industry representative and agronomists from Agrii (United Agri Products Ltd).

The website

The website was developed by IT consultants under instruction from Catherine Jones and Pat Croft (STCRF) to provide an interface for growers to use.

There was a delay developing the website because the original IT consultant had to step-down because of a change in circumstances (David Chesmore), the first replacement (Charles Standing) had to withdraw from the project due to illness. Second replacements were found (Peter Sawycki and Jill George), the IT company (Branding Iron) also entered into liquidation.

Results

The database

The database has been finished. After a review of the literature, it contains 109 species that are described using the following criteria:

- Provenance
- Typical height
- Pollen, nectar, bank plant and extra-floral nectar status
- Beneficial insect associations
- Bird associations
- Weed status
- Typical cost
- Pest associations (crop specific)
- Availability from seed companies
- Soil type preference
- Flowering period
- Typical competitive status and dominance
- pH
- Lifespan (annual, perennial, herbaceous)

‘Typical height’ and ‘competitive status and dominance’ were not considered because they have been shown to vary greatly according to environment and management. These will be included as additional information under the headings ‘Establishment’ and ‘Management’.

‘Availability from seed companies’ was universally good and tended to be reflected in the cost of seed anyway.

pH was considered to be a variable that is corrected by growers as part of their land management. All the species in the database could survive over a range of pH values, none of which were at the extremes. Therefore, pH was not included as a website criterion.

Five criteria from the database were selected that relate directly to questions on the website: lifespan, provenance, soil type, typical cost and pest association (relating to ‘main crop’; see next section ‘The website’).

The remaining database criteria were all included in the development of the seed mixes but do not directly relate to questions asked. Instead, they will be included in the information

provided to the grower once the questions have been answered (e.g. red clover has been included in your mix because it provides nectar and pollen for pollinators, has a lot of extra-floral nectar for parasitoids and hoverflies and typically flowers from May to September).

The website

The website is being hosted by the STC server. Growers will be able to access the site through a link from the HDC website or directly through the STC website <http://www.stc-nyorks.co.uk/> with the password **entomos**.

From the database and after consultation, five criteria were identified as crucial to the selection of species included in the suggested seed mixes. Agronomic consultation led to limiting the criteria as much as possible to ensure that each criterion is strongly related to the agronomy of the species in the seed mix or to its role within the mix (e.g. not feeding pests known to be associated with a crop, or flowering period). This limitation allowed the website to be easy to use as well as encompassing the crucial selection criteria. The five criteria chosen will result in 96 different seed mixes, each designed to offer maximum value to the grower for a particular suite of field and crop specifications. The criteria are:

- 6) **The main crop** grown next to the proposed margin - brassicas, carrots, peas and potatoes were chosen to be included after recommendation from the HDC FV Panel. This answer to this question lead to the omission of plant species known to be associated with pests.
- 7) **Soil type** – clay, loam or sand. These three broad soil types were chosen because they represent the types of soil relevant to the selection of species from the raw database. Growers will choose the type that best describes their land.
- 8) **Margin lifespan** – annuals only or perennials included. This allows growers to choose if they wish their margin to persist for multiple years. An annual mix may be more relevant to a grower's rotation. Whereas, a mix with perennials included would have a longer lifespan and allow the margin to become a more permanent landscape feature.
- 9) **Provenance** – native only or naturalised species included. Growers can use this selection criterion to choose whether they would prefer to sow only native species. No truly exotic species are included in any of the mixes. The naturalised species are those that are already present to an extent in UK habitats (e.g. *Phacelia tanacetifolia*)
- 10) **Budget** – economy or premium. This will allow growers to reduce costs should they want to. An “economy” mix will exclude or reduce in number those species known to

be more expensive. An explanation on the role of the excluded species will be provided with the seed mix to inform the grower of the plant's value in a multifunctional mix. This will allow growers to decide on the balance between cost and function.

There are two more questions on the site, relating to the grower's details and location these will not affect the resulting seed mix; however, it will provide information on the regions where the website is being used.

The website can be visited at <http://www.stc-nyorks.co.uk/>. There is a welcome page, explaining the background behind the project and the rationale for the website. The top of the page has tabs relating to:

Home – returns the user to the welcome page.

Establishment – contains notes on land preparation and margin establishment. These guidelines, as used in the HortLink HL0192 project, give advice on the four steps for optimum margin establishment: site selection; site clearance and weed control; seed bed cultivation; seed sowing.

Management – contains notes on how best to manage the margin once established, with particular reference to controlling grasses and weeds in perennial margins using mowing regimes. This section has been developed in consultation with our project partner, Emorsgate Seeds, and a link is provided on the website for further information.

FAQ – contains 'frequently asked questions' relating to margins generally and this project more specifically such as justifications for including a greater diversity of plants in a seed mix for increased likelihood of good establishment and for multiple ecosystem service benefits.

Links – contains links to appropriate seed producers as well as relevant information on field margins and agro ecosystem research such as the ecostac (FV334 – Hortlink project HL0192), Natural England and SAFFIE websites.

Figure 1. Welcome page for automated margins website

Click “BEGIN” to be prompted for a password. The password to access the site is **entomos**.

You will be taken to the questionnaire page where you can supply the selection criteria listed above.

Home Establishment Management FAQ Links Contacts

STC HDC Horticultural Development Company

HOME - QUESTIONNAIRE

Field Margin Details

Please complete the Field Margin Questionnaire below using the drop down boxes provided.

Field Margin Questionnaire

FARM DETAILS Please enter your details.

Name

Email address

Providing an e-mail address enables you to optionally send the results via e-mail.

LOCATION Please choose an option. ▼

MAIN CROP Please choose an option. ▼

SOIL TYPE Please choose an option. ▼

MARGIN LIFESPAN Please choose an option. ▼

PROVENANCE Please choose an option. ▼

BUDGET Please choose an option. ▼

SHOW DATA...

© Stockbridge Technology Centre Ltd 2012 - All rights reserved.
Cawood, Selby, North Yorkshire, YO83TZ

Figure 2. Questionnaire page

At all times, users can be returned to the welcome page or any of the other pages relating to the tabs at the top.

Once all 6 options have been chosen, click the “Show data” button. You will be taken to seed mix (landing page) which matches the criteria from the database that you have selected.

Whilst under development, the landing pages are not connected to the database. However, the layout can be seen.

Home Establishment Management FAQ Links Contacts

STC HDC Horticultural Development Company

HOME - FULL SEED MIX

Criteria

Below is a list of the Criteria you have chosen. You can alter your choices by using the Change button below.

Shravastava
Clay
Perennials included
Naturalised plants included
Premium

[RETURN TO OPTIONS](#)

[SEND FULL REPORT](#)

DISCLAIMER
The horticultural industry faces a range of issues linked to crop protection and production. These include a reduction in the available products approved for use; the potential for increasing resistance in target pest organisms; increasing pressures from consumers and retailers for residue-free produce and environmentally-friendly practices; and a need to comply with legislation and industry initiatives.

Suggested Seed Mix

Common name	Plant name	Expected Main Beneficiaries	Parental/Annual	% of Seed Mix
		Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, read more		

Full seed mix

COMMON NAME	% OF SEED MIX
Broccoli	40
Caiflower	60

COMMON NAME	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
BROCCOLI					*							
CAULIFLOWER								*				

Suggested seed rate: 0 kg/ha

Percentage seed mix

© Stockbridge Technology Centre Ltd 2012 - All rights reserved.
Cawood, Selby, North Yorkshire, YO85TZ

Figure 3. Seed mix page (landing page)

On the landing page there is also an option to have the report sent to an email address for printing. Users can return to the home page to begin the process again.

Feedback

Feedback on the development of the database has been collated from growers and HDC and improvements made as requested. However the database is designed for a small number of crops and there is the need to expand

Conclusions

The database and website are completed. Future feedback on the website can be used to make changes, such as expanding the number of crops covered, soil types and addressing knowledge gaps within the available databases relating to the relationship between species of flowers and crop pests and their natural enemies. Further development of the website in these areas will increase usability and uptake of the website. The website can lead to an increase in the understanding and uptake of multifunctional flowering margins, and the role they can play in Integrated Pest Management (IPM), pollination and biodiversity in field crops.

Knowledge and Technology Transfer

HL0192 (FV334) project meeting – introducing the project consortium to this “spin-off” project

Invited lecture (Luke Tilley) – Environment Department, University of York

Glossary

Database – The raw and original data, from which the program (website) formulates the seed mixes

Program – refers to the website, the interface to be used by growers to access the information from the database that relates to seed mixes

References

- Carvell C, Westrich P, Meek WR, Pywell RF, Nowakowski M, 2006. Assessing the value of annual and perennial forage mixtures for bumblebees by direct observation and pollen analysis. *Apidologie* 37: 326-340.
- George DR, Croft P, Northing P, Wäckers FL, 2010. Perennial field margins with combined agronomical and ecological benefits for vegetable rotation schemes. *Landscape Management for Functional Biodiversity IOBC wprs Bulletin* 56: 45-48.
- Gurr GM, Wratten SD, Luna JM, 2003 Multi-function agricultural biodiversity: Pest management and other benefits. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 4: 107-116.
- Olson D, Wäckers FL, 2007. Management of field margins to maximize multiple ecological services. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44: 13-21.
- Pywell RF, Warman EA, Sparks TH, Greatorex-Davies JN, Walker KJ, Meek WR, Carvell C, Petit S, Firbank LG, 2004. Assessing habitat quality for butterflies on intensively managed arable farmland. *Biological Conservation* 118: 313-325.
- Vickery JA, Bradbury RB, Henderson IG, Eaton MA, Gric, PV, 2004. The role of agri-environment schemes and farm management practices in reversing the decline of farmland birds in England. *Biological Conservation* 119: 19-39.

- Vickery JA, Feber RE, Fuller RJ, 2009 Arable field margins managed for biodiversity conservation: A review of food resource provision for farmland birds. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 133: 1-13.
- Wäckers FL, van Rijn PCJ, Bruin J (eds.), 2005. *Plant-Provided Food for Carnivorous Insects: A protective mutualism and its applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 368p.
- Winkler K, Wäckers FL, Termorshuizen AJ, van Lenteren JC, 2010. Assessing risks and benefits of floral supplements in conservation biological control. *BioControl* 55: 719-727.